

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

#

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,414	07/12/2006	Jan O. Aasly	06014	7585
26191 7590 12/03/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 1022			HAMA, JOANNE	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		1632		
				<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/568,414	AASLY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joanne Hama, Ph.D.	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprince of the communication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Dly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u> 2 July 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 3	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to b the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). c) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No seceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application _				

10/568,414 Art Unit: 1632

This Application, filed July 12, 2006, is a 371 of PCT/NO05/00465, filed December 19, 2005 and claims priority to Foreign Applications 20045612, filed December 23, 2004, and 20052535, filed May 27, 2005 in Norway.

Applicant filed amendments to the claims on March 8, 2007 and May 22, 2007. The following restriction is based on the claims filed May 22, 2007.

Claims 1-9 are pending.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a polynucleotide consisting of SEQ ID NO. 2 or a complementary sequence thereto, a DNA probe specific for SEQ ID NO. 2, a method of screening for Parkinsonism inheritance, using the probe that detects SEQ ID NO. 2, and a primer specific for SEQ ID NO. 2.

Group 2, claim(s) 7-8, drawn to a method of using the nucleotide of SEQ ID NO. 2 or a vector comprising SEQ ID NO. 2 to transfect an organism.

Group 3, claim(s) 9, drawn to a peptide consisting of SEQ ID NO. 1.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-3 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: unity of invention between different catagories of inventions will only be found to exist if the specific

10/568,414 Art Unit: 1632

combinations are present. These combinations include:

- 1) a product and special process of manufacture of said product,2) a product and a process of use of said product,
- 3) a product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and a process of use of said product,
- 4) a process and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process,
- 5) a product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process.

The allowed combinations do not include multiple products, multiple methods of using said product, and methods of making multiple products as claimed in the instant application, see MPEP § 1850. In addition to this, the special technical feature of the invention, SEQ ID NO. 2, was known at the time of filing. Zimprich et al., [online], 2004 [retrieved on 2007-11-26]. Retrieved from the Internet:< URL:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/viewer.fcgi?db=nuccore&id=55740397>, pages 1-5, teach that the sequence was known at the time of filling. Thus, the groups lack a special technical feature. Further, Group 2 is distinct from Group 1 because the method of Group 1 requires different steps from that of Group 2. Group 3 is distinct from Groups 1 and 2 because the product of Group 3 has a different structure and function from that of the product of Group 1 and the product of Group 3 is not used in the method of Group 2.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

10/568,414

Art Unit: 1632

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Hama, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-2911. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays from 9:00-5:00.

10/568,414

Art Unit: 1632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras, can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Joanne Hama Art Unit 1632

tou He